arXiv:0812.3233v1 [math.CO] 17 Dec 2008

ON THE NONEXISTENCE OF EXTREMAL TYPE III CODES

TSUYOSHI MIEZAKI

Graduate School of Mathematics Kyushu University
Hakozaki 6-10-1 Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581 Japan

Abstract.
In this note, we give the new nonexistence result of extremal Type III code.

Key Words and Phrases. self-dual code, weight enumerator.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 94B05; Secondary 11F27.

1. Introduction

A linear code C of length n is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. Then, the dual $C^\perp$ of a linear code C is defined as follows: $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0 \ for \ all \ \mathbf{x} \in C\}$. A linear code C is called self-dual if and only if $C = C^\perp$. The weight $wt(\mathbf{x})$ is the number of its nonzero components. The weight enumerator of code C is

$$W(X,Y) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}\in C} X^{n-wt(\mathbf{u})} Y^{wt(\mathbf{u})} = X^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i X^{n-i} Y^i,$$

where A_i is the number of codewords of weight i. In this note, we consider the following self-dual codes, [1]:

Type I : Over $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with all weights divisible by 2,
Type II : Over $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with all weights divisible by 4,
Type III : Over $\mathbb{F}_3^n$ with all weights divisible by 3,
Type IV : Over $\mathbb{F}_4^n$ with all weights divisible by 2.

The minimum weight d of Type I, $\cdots$, IV satisfy the Mallows-Sloane bound, [1]:

Type I : $d \le 2[n/8] + 2$, n even,
Type II : $d \le 4[n/24] + 4$, $8|n$,
Type III : $d \le 3[n/12] + 3$, $4|n$,
Type IV : $d \le 2[n/8] + 2$, n even.

A code C is called extremal if the minimum distance attain the Mallows-Sloane bound. For Type I, $\cdots$, IV, it is well known that the weight enumerator $W(X,Y)$ is in the space $\mathbb{C}[f,g]$, [1], where

Type I : $f = X^2 + Y^2$, $g = X^2Y^2(X^2 - Y^2)^2$,
Type II : $f = X^8 + 14X^4Y^4 + Y^8$, $g = X^4Y^4(X^4 - Y^4)^4$,
Type III : $f = X^4 + 8XY^3$, $g = Y^3(X^3 - Y^3)^3$,
Type IV : $f = X^2 + 3Y^2$, $g = Y^2(X^2 - Y^2)^2$.

We set the number w, R and S for a unified definition:

Type I : $w = 2$, $R = 4$, $S = 2$,
Type II : $w = 4$, $R = 3$, $S = 8$,
Type III : $w = 3$, $R = 3$, $S = 4$,
Type IV : $w = 2$, $R = 3$, $S = 2$.

Then, we can choose the $a_1, \cdots, a_m$ so that

$$W^*(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i f^{j-Ri} g^i = X^n + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n/w} A^*_{wi} X^{n-i} Y^i,$$

where $j = n/S$, $m = [j/R] = [n/RS]$. We call the $W^*(X,Y)$ extremal weight enumerator. It is easy to see that the weight enumerator of the extremal code is extremal weight enumerator. If there exist the negative coefficient of $W^*(X,Y)$, then such a extremal code does not exist. In fact, the following results hold.

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [1]). *For* Type III*, the coefficient of the highest power of y is negative for $n = 24i$ $(i \geq 3)$, $24i + 4$ $(i \geq 7)$, and the next-to-highest coefficient is negative for $n = 24i + 12$ $(i \geq 11)$.*

Theorem 1.2 (cf. [3]). $A^*_{w(m+2)}$ *(the third nonzero coefficient in $W^*(X,Y)$) is negative if and only if for* Type II*, $n = 24i$ $(i \geq 154)$, $n = 24i + 8$ $(i \geq 159)$, $n = 24i + 16$ $(i \geq 164)$, for* Type III*, $n = 12i$ $(i \geq 70)$, $n = 12i + 4$ $(i \geq 75)$, $n = 12i + 8$ $(i \geq 78)$.*

2. NONEXISTENCE OF EXTREMAL TYPE III CODES

In [3], Zhang remark that there are some missing cases in Theorem 1.1, which are $n = 24i + 8$, $24i + 16$ and $24i + 20$. In this note, we give the complete bound which the coefficient are nonnegative by a computer calculation (using Mathematica).

Proposition 2.1. *For* Type III*, the coefficient of the highest power of y is negative for $n = 24i + 8$ $(11 \leq i \leq 38)$, $24i + 20$ $(19 \leq i \leq 38)$, and the next-to-highest coefficient is negative for $n = 24i + 16$ $(15 \leq i \leq 36)$.*

Together with the Theorem 1.1, 1.2, we get the following complete bound for Type III code.

Theorem 2.1. *For* Type III*, the coefficient of the highest power of y is negative for $n = 24i$ $(i \geq 3)$, $24i + 4$ $(i \geq 7)$, $n = 24i + 8$ $(i \geq 11)$, $24i + 20$ $(i \geq 19)$, and the next-to-highest coefficient is negative for $n = 24i + 12$ $(i \geq 11)$, $n = 24i + 16$ $(i \geq 15)$. So, the extremal* Type III *codes do not exist for $n = 24i$ $(i \geq 3)$, $24i + 4$ $(i \geq 7)$, $n = 24i + 8$ $(i \geq 11)$, $n = 24i + 12$ $(i \geq 11)$, $n = 24i + 16$ $(i \geq 15)$, $24i + 20$ $(i \geq 19)$.*

Remark 2.1. *We remark that all the coefficients of extremal weight enumerator of* Type II*, for $n = 24i$ $(i \leq 153)$, $n = 24i + 8$ $(i \leq 158)$, $n = 24i + 16$ $(i \leq 163)$ are positive. We verify this fact by a computer calculation (using Mathematica).*

Acknowledgment

The author is supported by JSPS Research Fellowship. The author would like to thank Eiichi Bannai and Masaaki Harada for their helpful discussions on this research.

REFERENCES

[1] J. H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, "Sphere Packings Lattices and Groups," third edition, Springer, New York, 1999.

[2] C. L. Mallows, N. J. A. Sloane, An Upper Bound for Self-Dual Codes, Inform. and Control 22 (1973) 188–200.

[3] S. Y. Zhang, On the nonexistence of extremal self-dual codes, Discrete Appl. Math. 91 (1999), no. 1-3, 277–286.